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Territorial Implementation partnerships are:

- governance structure set-up to coordinate the development of a policy field/thematic/sector area
- have a geographical (MRS) and/or thematic delineation (UA)
- have a defined membership (national, regional, urban, other)
- include sub-structures – activities, working groups, projects, platforms, flagships, etc.
- are either time limited (UA) or time unlimited (MRS)
- develops policy feedback to member organizations
- monitor progress in the area
Background and research

- Analysis undertaken for this seminar:
  - Analysis of a selection of territorial implementation partnerships of the MRS and UA

- Examples of other studies:
  - "Macro-regional strategies and their links with cohesion policy“, 2017, DG REGIO (COWI)
# Drivers and barriers of implementation partnership

**Drivers**
- Pre-existing cooperation structures/history
- Existence of 'leaders'
- Clear structure and rules
- EU Policy framework and/or common reference framework
- Requirements for concrete action (e.g. climate change)

**Barriers**
- Institutional and personnel fluctuations
- Resource limitations
- Lack of commitment (institutional/political)
- Very broad thematic (unclear scope and objectives)
- Lack of common reference framework
Development process and performance of implementation partnerships

- Steering group builds capacity
- Wider partnership capacity (project implementation)
- External stakeholders develop capacity
- Performance on impact indicators
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Organization and structure – steering group

- Size
  - small and agile vs. large and inclusive

- Composition - type of partnership (topic)
  - national, regional/local/urban, NGO, private sector, research

- Who are the members
  - administrative level, political/institutional backing, mandate (ensure compatibility)

- Functions – what should the steering committee do?
  - strategic, operational, projects, funding (should be defined)

- Agree clear rules and procedures
  - how do we make decisions?
Steering the partnerships – leadership and management

- Leadership structure
  - one or several – co-leads (stability, continuation)

- The leader
  - from partner/member or from other (international) organization (pros and cons)

- Capacity building of the leader
  - Leading a partnership is a ‘skill’ has to be learnt (also need resources)

- Mobilize members to participate (can be a key effort)
  - Relevance
  - Commitment (institutional)

- Organization of the work of the partnership/steering group
  - strategic and operational planning
  - communication
  - finance
Setting and measuring objectives - strategic planning

- SG have to agree (or restate) aims and focus early in the process
  - may have been externally/ex ante decided (no ownership)

- Setting the objectives – internal and external
  - ideally based on an analysis of needs
  - assess what can be achieved over a given time period

- Choosing realistic indicators (RACER)
  - agree what can be measured during the lifetime of the partnership (outputs, results, internal performance)
  - NB! Impacts can often only be measured after 5-10 years
  - decide who (and how to) will monitor (especially after end of a short-term partnership)
Financing – access and predictability

Allocated resources for the partnership:

- Time of the lead
  - full time job?
- Administration
- Communication
  - Communication officer
- Participation in meetings
- Capacity building of the SG/Lead

Ensure access to project financing:

- Specific/earmarked allocations
- ESIF
- EU programmes
- IFIs
- Member contribution
- Other international

➢ Leadership often spends too much time identifying financing
Communication – many different needs

- Internal – within the steering group and the partnership (policy inputs)
- External - wider partnership (results of specific activities)
  - Administrations/organizations of the partnership
  - Projects
  - Other external stakeholders
- External - general public (overall results)

- Development of a communication strategy from the outset (not at towards the end)
- Communication plan should:
  - nominate/hire a communication responsible (communication officer)
  - define the communication role of the partners vis a vis:
    - their own administration
    - stakeholders in their country, region, city, sector?
  - the role of the SG
  - the responsibility of the projects
  - timing including what happens after partnership/project ends (time limited)?
Dissemination and sustainability of results

Uptake and use of results require performance at many levels:

- Develop a plan for uptake of results:
  - during the partnership
  - when partnership is completed

- Ensure that roles/responsibilities are allocated:
  - the partners/members
  - the SG/lead
  - the projects
What is the added value of territorial implementation partnerships for policy making?